



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

09/876,993

06/11/2001

David Rozenshtein

03433.00025

1837

22907

7590

01/02/2004

BANNER & WITCOFF
1001 G STREET N W
SUITE 1100
WASHINGTON, DC 20001

EXAMINER

BLACK, LINH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2177

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/876,993

Applicant(s)

ROZENSSTEIN ET AL.

Examiner

LINH BLACK

Art Unit

2177

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 June 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 1, 11, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
2. Claims 1, 11, and 21 provide for the use of tracking changes to the content of at least one database, but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the tracking changes, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. In short, the objectives of the preambles of the claims have not been met in the bodies of the claims. The bodies of the claims do not show or teach steps of tracking changes to the content of at least one database. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.
3. Dependent claims 2-10, 12-20, and 22-30 are rejected to for fully incorporated the discrepancy of their respective base claims by dependency.

Art Unit: 2177

4. Claims 2, 12, and 22 are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The limitation "ignoring step (2) such that the resulting content of the database reflects data as if the previously undone loading step did occur" is not clear. If the step of "performing a plurality of loads to said database" is ignored, there would be no transaction or load is performed to said database, then there would be no undo process needs be occurred since there is no unwanted or bad transactions occur.

In the specification, page 3, paragraph [11], applicants state that "In some embodiments, the undoing step is ignored such that the resulting content of the database reflects data as if the previously undone load did occur." **Clarification on this matter is required for further execution of the claims.**

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2177

5. Claims 1, 11, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ganesh et al (USP 6647510).

6. Ganesh et al. anticipated the independent claims 1, 11, and 21 by the following: "storing data in the database; performing a plurality of loads to said database;" – col. 7, lines 20-46; fig. 4. (In the specification, page 1, second paragraph, applicants state: "An update to an institutional database is often performed through a process termed a load.")

"undoing at least one of said plurality of loads; wherein the resulting content of the database reflects the data as if the undone load had not been performed" – col. 3, lines 27-33; col. 1, line 62 to col. 2, line 26; col. 8, lines 17-26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 5, 13, 15, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over by Ganesh et al (USP 6647510), and further in view of Jain et al. (USP 5806075).

7. As per claims 3, 13, and 23, Ganesh et al. do not explicitly suggest load table and target table. Jain et al. teach data replication – col. 5, line 1. Jain et al. also teach storing data in a database and performing a plurality of transactions to the said database – col. 5, lines 34-44; col. 6, lines 8-29. Jain et al. teach undoing at least one of said plurality of loads – col. 21, lines 49-60. Jain et al. further suggest a target table or a database table – fig. 2c, order or inventory table; col. 6, lines 8-15; load data or data to be transmitted to the database, for example, the customer order data, **are in the form of records and fields** (figs. 2b-c; col. 4, lines 46-47), are transferred to the database order table. As load data are collected (when customers order items) and sent to the database in rows of fields (by insert, update, delete commands), basically, load data are kept in tables or load tables. **Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to contain a customer's ordered items in records or a table (or load table) in order to add or update the ordered data to a target table in the database more efficiently, for example, rowids or primary keys of records of items of the load table will indicate which records in a target table to be updated when a customer wants to change the quantity value of his/her order.**
8. As per claim 5, 15, and 25, Ganesh et al. do not explicitly suggest “database table rows and the load table rows are correlated via a primary key”. Jain et al. teach “rowid information (i.e., identifier specifying a row within a table) is stored in the

• Art Unit: 2177

rowid field" – col. 10, lines 1-2. Jain et al. also teach "find row entry to be updated using primary key value" – fig. 5b1, element 524 and fig. 5c, element 564. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use tables' primary keys to efficiently manipulate data (by insert, update, or delete etc...) within rows of tables.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 4, 6-10, 14, 16-20, 24, and 26-30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LINH BLACK whose telephone number is 703-305-0317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, JOHN BREENE can be reached on 703-305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306,

* Art Unit: 2177

for Before Final communications: 703-746-7239, and for After Final communications:
703-746-7238.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or
proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-
7240.

Linh Black

LINH BLACK
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2177